Distance Education, 06:27 PM 2/18/99, Fw: Reply to call for written

From: "Distance Education Study" < disted@loc.gov>

To: < jfed@loc.gov> Subject: Fw: Reply to call for written comments (due Feb 5, 1999) Date: Thu, 18 Feb 1999 18:27:31 -0500 X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.5 X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3 ----Original Message----From: Eliz.Kirk@jhu.edu < Eliz.Kirk@jhu.edu> To: disted@loc.gov < disted@loc.gov> Cc: Jim Neal < jneal@jhu.edu> Date: Thursday, February 04, 1999 6:00 PM Subject: Reply to call for written comments (due Feb 5, 1999) > To the Office of the Register of Copyright, > In accordance with the call for written comments, I write to remind the > Office of the Register of certain key elements that must be present in new > legislation regarding copyright as it relates to digital dissemination of > distance education. > New legislation must take into account the critical importance of distance > education at the end of the twentieth century. For the first time, large > numbers of citizens who are not able to avail themselves of traditional > learning opportunities may work at home to attain basic literacy, > university undergraduate or graduate degrees, professional credentials, or > continuing professional education from a wide range of accredited > institutions across the country. At universities such as The Johns > Hopkins University, part-time and nontraditional students, most of whom > are engaged in learning activities away from the University's campus, now > outnumber traditional full-time students. Given the high cost of education > and the increasing ubiquity of home computing, the federal government > should encourage the development and deployment of part-time and distance > education, especially those programs that exploit digital technologies. > Indeed, such federal actions as investment in the Internet and provisions > in the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (which provides federal student > grant and loan monies to certain distance education programs) demonstrate > a commitment to increase support in this area. > Educational exemptions to the Digital Millennium Copyright Act should > follow this lead. The law should not penalize citizens who learn at home

Distance Education, 06:27 PM 2/18/99, Fw: Reply to call for written

> or remote from the traditional classroom. The law should be written to

> encourage institutions to explore the new technologies specifically > because these benefit more of our citizens. > In particular, new legislation should clearly state, as the House Report > on the 1976 Act does not (U.S. Congress, House Committee on the Judiciary, > Copyright Law Revision: H. Rept. 94-1476 on S. 22, 94th Cong., 2d Sess., > 1976), that educational exemptions should not be tailored to distinguish > between face-to-face and distance instruction. ** Transmission of audiovisual materials should not be excluded from exemptions for distance instruction. The growth of the Internet now > permits the full suite of media to be delivered to students' desktops, > and in a secure manner. It is safe to say that transmission across the network to authenticated individuals is as secure as presentation in a > classroom. It does not make sense, in the present environment, to require "simultaneous presence in the same general place" to meet > the exemption. > ** Language limiting the exemption to distance learning that supports primarily those students who may not attend traditional classes because > of disabilities or "special circumstances" should be excluded from the > new Act. The exemption should benefit all citizens. > ** Furthermore, the House Report on the 1976 Act requires that, for exemption, transmission of distance instruction must be > pointed primarily to "places normally devoted to instruction". New > legislation must protect the exchange of information for instructional > purposes, not places. To do less would exclude all the benefits of the > Internet for asynchronous learning. > > It is clear to faculty and librarians in higher education that statutory > recognition of educational and library exemptions are a continued need. > The Office of the Register will hear from other parties that wider > exemptions are not needed because of the availability of licensing > agreements. It is precisely because of the restrictive nature of licensing > agreements, and their sometimes prohibitive cost, that legislative action > is required. The Register should be made aware that licensing agreements > are often available on a sliding price scale, with remote access > (availability beyond the traditional campus) at significantly higher rates > than those that are site bound. Some information providers require > separate licenses for different subnetworks within the same institution. > Some simply do not provide any access at all beyond a fixed location. It > is within the financial interest of commercial parties to favor no changes > in legislation. >

Distance Education, 06:27 PM 2/18/99, Fw: Reply to call for written

```
> The "pay per view" model to which licensing relegates the transmission of
> protected materials works very well for commercial entertainment. It does
> not work for education, where the goal of viewing or transmitting
> materials is decidedly not to limit the potential market for a work, but
> rather to prepare our citizens to be literate and responsible consumers of
> creative work throughout their lives. Education also prepares people to
> create new work, guaranteeing the continued success of publishers as well
> as authors.
> We value the participation of the Register in the preparation of materials
> to be presented to Congress in advance of legislation. In particular, we
> appreciate this opportunity to offer comments on the Office's ongoing
> consideration of this matter.
>
> Sincerely,
> Elizabeth E. Kirk
> Electronic and Distance Education Librarian
>
>
  > Elizabeth E. Kirk
> Electronic and Distance Education Librarian
> Milton S. Eisenhower Library
> The Johns Hopkins University
> 3400 North Charles St.
> Baltimore, Maryland 21218 USA
> < Eliz.Kirk@jhu.edu> 410-516-8279 (telephone) 410-516-5080 (fax)
> http://milton.mse.jhu.edu:8001/people/Kirk.Elizabeth.html
```